Ocular Surface Disease Related to Prostaglandin Analogue with Benzalkonium Chloride versus Prostaglandin Analogue with Stabilized Oxy Chloride Complex in Glaucoma Patients
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Abstract: To evaluate the effects of travoprost with Benzalkonium chloride (BAC) versus travoprost with Stabilized Oxy chloride complex (SOC) on ocular surface in case of primary open angle glaucoma (POAG). Our study involved 56 patients (112 eyes) who received antiglaucomatous treatment by instillation of one drop of travoprost (0.004%) with SOC (0.005%) group 1 (56 eyes of 28 patients) and travoprost with BAC (0.015%) group 2 (56 eyes of 28 patients) every 24 hours. Patients completed Ocular Surface Disease Index questionnaire, underwent evaluation by Schirmer test, tear breakup time, corneal and conjunctival fluorescein and lissamine green staining. In group 1 at the end of 6 months, 14.28% eyes were abnormal in Schirmer test, 23.21% in TBUT, 28.57% had abnormal scoring in both staining, mild to moderate OSDI score was seen in 53.57% and severe OSDI score in 14.28%. In group 2 -19.64% eyes were abnormal in Schirmer test, 55.35% in TBUT, 39.2% had abnormal scoring in both staining, mild to moderate OSDI score was seen in 57.14% and none of the patients had severe OSDI score. High prevalence of ocular surface diseases was noted in patients using travoprost with Benzalkonium chloride as compared with travoprost with Stabilized Oxy chloride complex. Ocular surface disease must be kept in mind in symptomatic patients as it is likely to affect drug compliance.
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INTRODUCTION

Glaucoma is the second leading cause of blindness in the world and is predicted to account for over 11 million by 2020 [1]. Medical treatment is considered an effective way of controlling glaucoma in its initial stage [2]. Topical medical treatments are mainly used as first-choice therapy to avoid the onset of further irreversible optic nerve damage and visual field defects. Most of the patients are on long term treatment medically. Surgery is reserved in case of intolerance, inadequate response to topical therapy or progression. Side effects need to be minimised to promote compliance and allow continuation of long-term therapy. The benefits of reducing microbial contamination through use of preservatives are offset by the known ocular side effects of preservatives [3]. The toxic action of preservatives on the ocular surface has been widely demonstrated in vitro as well as in vivo, in both humans and animals [4-6].

Benzalkonium chloride (BAC) is among the most common preservatives used in ophthalmic preparations. Benzalkonium chloride kills bacteria; the same mechanism that eradicates microbes is also toxic to many cell types of the eye. The ocular effects are dose-dependent and can range from apoptosis to necrosis. The local inflammation causes changes that can mimic the appearance of dry eye signs and symptoms. The discomfort associated with dry eye decreases patients' quality of life, and it also reduces their desire to comply with treatment [7].

Oxidants, such as stabilized oxychloro complex (SOC) and sodium perborate, are usually small molecules that penetrate cell membranes and disrupt cellular function by modifying lipids, proteins, and DNA. Their membrane destabilizing activity is less potent than that of detergent preservatives. At low levels, oxidative preservatives have an advantage over the detergent preservatives by providing enough activity against microorganisms while exerting only negligible toxic effects on eukaryotic cells [8].
The aim of our study was to evaluate the signs and symptoms of OSD in POAG patients treated with travoprost with BAC versus travoprost with SOC.

METHODS

Our study involved 56 patients (112 eyes) who received antiglaucomatous treatment in primary open angle glaucoma patients by instillation of one drop of travoprost (0.004%) with SOC (0.005%) and travoprost with BAC (0.015%) every 24 hours. These patients were not receiving any other topical ocular treatment. Patients were excluded with history of ocular surgery, previous topical drug administration within last 3 months, ocular surface disease, and collagen vascular disease, known hypersensitivity to therapy, contact lens use and allergic conjunctivitis.

The diagnosis of glaucoma was confirmed by Appplanation tonometry, gonioscopy, visual fields defect (GHT outside normal limits and/or PSD with p<5%), OCT and fundus examination for glaucomatous optic neuropathy (rim thinning, excavation and/or retinal nerve fibre layer defects). Informed and written consent was obtained from all patients with consent form approved by the institutional ethical committee.

Patients were divided into two groups: Group 1(56 eyes of 28 patients): Patients on Travato-Z with stabilised Oxychloro complex (0.005%). Group 2 (56 eyes of 28 patients): Patients on Travatan (0.004%) with Benzalkonium chloride (0.015%).

Demographic information, brief medical history and information on concomitant medicine use were obtained from patient’s medical records. Visits were scheduled at 1 ½, 3rd and 6th month. All the eligible patients were asked to complete Ocular Surface Disease Index [OSDI] questionnaire. After completing the OSDI questionnaire, patients under went three standard clinical tests for the detection of ocular surface disorder – Schirmer test, Tear breakup time (TBUT) and Fluorescein and Lissamine green staining of conjunctiva and cornea which were repeated on each follow-up visits.

The OSDI questionnaire was designed as a screening survey to assess symptoms and their impact on vision related function [9]. The 12 questions of OSDI questionnaire were graded on the scale of 0 to 4. 0 – none of the time, 1 – some of the time, 2 – half of the time, 3 – most of the time, 4 – all the time. The total OSDI score was calculated using the formula

\[
\text{OSDI} = \frac{\text{[sum of scores for all questions answered]} \times 100}{\text{[total number of questions answered]}} \times 4
\]

To maximize the sum of the sensitivity and specificity values, the severity designations used for the OSDI score were as following: 0 to 5.9, normal; 6.0 to 14.9, mild to moderate; and >=15.0, severe.

Schirmer's test I was done with Schirmer's paper (5x35mm) inserted in the inferior fornix at the junction of lateral and middle thirds of the lower eyelid for 5 min. Then the filter paper was removed and the amount of wetting was measured. This test gave the value for both basic and reflex secretions of tears. The severity designations used for the Schirmer test were the following: >10 mm, normal; 6 to 10 mm, mild to moderate; and 0 to 5 mm, severe.

Tear film instability was evaluated by performing a tear breakup time (TBUT)test. Method used involved instillation of fluorescein dye into the eye. After the dye was distributed throughout the tear film by blinking, the patient was asked to stare straight ahead without blinking. Under slit-lamp examination, the time between the last blink and the appearance of the first break in the fluorescent tear film was measured. Values of <10 seconds was considered abnormal.

Fluorescein staining: 2% fluorescein strip was applied to lower fornix for few Seconds and examined using cobalt blue filter. Score - 0 for absent, 1 for just present, 2 for moderate staining and 3 for gross staining. A total score of more than 3 out of 9 is considered abnormal.

Lissamine staining: Lissamine strip applied to lower fornix for few seconds. Using white light of moderate intensity, staining at the corneal region and the interpalpebral region of the nasal and temporal conjunctiva was graded using the Oxford Scheme. Corneal and conjunctival lissamine green staining was evaluated after 30 seconds but before 2 minutes had elapsed after instillation. The severity designations used for lissamine green staining using the Oxford scheme were the following: 0 to I-normal; II to III-mild to moderate; and IV to V- severe.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

A total of 56 patients (112 eyes) were included in the study. The data was entered in MS EXCEL spread sheet and analysis was done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21.0. A paired test was used to assess the changes at baseline and at 6 months. A Chi square test was applied to find out difference of results between two groups at the end of the 6 months. P-value has been calculated using two tailed test. A p-value of less 0.05 is considered significant.

RESULTS

All results are provided as means and standard deviations for continuous variables and frequencies and percentages (%) for ordinal variables, unless otherwise indicated. The overall mean age of the patient was 57.14 years in group 1 and 54.75 years in group 2.
The OSDI score in group 1-32.14% patients were normal, 53.57% were mild to moderate and 14.28% had severe dry eyes. In group 2, at 6 months 42.85% were normal, 57.14% had mild to moderate dry eyes and none of the patients were severe.

Schirmer’s test value of more than 10 mm is considered normal and that of less than 10 mm is considered abnormal. In group 1, 0% eyes were abnormal at baseline and 14.28% at 6 months. (p=0.003) In group 2, 0% eyes were normal at baseline and 14.

The value of TBUT is taken as normal if it is above 10 seconds and abnormal if it is less than 10 seconds. In group 1, the tear film break up time at baseline was abnormal in 0% eyes and at 6 months it was abnormal 23.21% of eyes. (P=0.0002) In group 2, the tear film break up time at baseline was abnormal in 0% eyes compared to 55.35% at 6 months (p=<0.00001). Fisher exact test was applied and there was statistically significant difference between both groups. (p=0.002)

Flourescein staining graded according to Van Bijterveld Scheme-Normal: Score < 3 and abnormal: score ≥ 3. In group 1, 0% of eyes at baseline had abnormal score while at 6 months only 28.57% had abnormal score. (p=0.000004). In group 2, 0% of eyes at baseline had abnormal score compared to 39.2% at 6 months. (p=0.0000002). There was no statistically significant difference between both groups. (p=0.43) Lissamine green dye staining is graded according to the OXFORD GRADING SCHEME. Normal = grade 0 and I and Abnormal = grade II – V. In group 1, 0% of eyes at baseline had abnormal score while at 6 months only 28.57% had an abnormal Oxford scheme score. (p=0.000004) In group 2, 0% of eyes at baseline had an abnormal score compared to 39.2% at 6 months (p=0.0000002). There was no statistically significant difference between both groups. (p=0.43) (Figure 1).

### Table-1: Comparison of OSDI questionnaire and three tests (Schirmer’s test, TBUT and staining) between Travoprost with SOC and Travoprost with BAC groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment Group</th>
<th>Visit</th>
<th>OSDI</th>
<th>Schirmer’s test</th>
<th>TBUT</th>
<th>Fluorescein staining</th>
<th>Lissamine staining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Norma l (0-5.9)</td>
<td>Mild (6-14.9)</td>
<td>Severe (&gt;15)</td>
<td>Normal (&gt;10 mm)</td>
<td>Abnormal (&lt;10 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Travo prost with SOC</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>42.8%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 ½ Months</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.71%</td>
<td>57.14%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Months</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.14%</td>
<td>57.14%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>94.64%</td>
<td>5.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Months</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.14%</td>
<td>53.57%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>85.71%</td>
<td>14.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Travoprost with BAC</td>
<td>46.42%</td>
<td>53.57%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 ½ Months</td>
<td></td>
<td>42.85%</td>
<td>57.14%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Months</td>
<td></td>
<td>42.85%</td>
<td>57.14%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>89.2%</td>
<td>10.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Months</td>
<td></td>
<td>42.85%</td>
<td>57.14%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>80.35%</td>
<td>19.64%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OSDI- Ocular surface disease index; TBUT – tear film break up time; % (no of eyes)
Ocular surface disease index at the end of 6th months
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Tear film break up time at the end of 6th months
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DISCUSSION

The prevalence of both glaucoma and Ocular surface disease is increasing as the population ages [10]. Chronic uses of topical anti-glaucoma ophthalmic products for the treatment of glaucoma often contribute to the development or worsening of OSD.

BAC is the most common preservative used in commercially available eye drops. Ophthalmic preservatives help prevent bacterial contamination and prolong the shelf life by limiting bio-degradation and maintaining drug potency. When used chronically, preservatives can disrupt the precorneal tear film and lead to damage of the ocular surface and worsening of OSD symptoms. The mechanism of side effects caused by antiglaucoma medication on the ocular surface and tear film secretion are unclear. They may be due to preservatives and / or active compounds.

At the end of 6 months, abnormal schirmer’s test value was seen in 14.28% eyes in Travoprost with SOC group and 19.64% in Travoprost with BAC group. Almost equal number of eyes on Travo-Z and Travatan showed abnormal schirmer’s test result at the end of 6 months. These findings suggest that the long term use of both the drugs is likely to affect the tear secretion.

Algoz et al. [11] in 2008 reported that tear film production did not differ from baseline in newly diagnosed glaucoma patients treated with either BAC
containing Bimatoprost or Travoprost for 6 months. Martone G. et al.[12]. In 2009 with study of effect of topical antiglaucoma therapy with preservative showed 19% decrease in tear production. Study in 2008 by Leung EW and Medeiros FA et al. [13] for prevalence of ocular surface disease in glaucoma patients showed decrement in tear production by schirmer testing in 35% eyes with BAC preserved Timolol. Bonomi L et al.[14] study, Timolol Maleate affects corneal sensitivity, and decreased corneal sensitivity results in a decreased blink rate, which in turn brings about a decrease in tear turnover. Kuppens et al. [15] have studied that basal tear turnover rate using flurophotometry in patients who had used Timolol Maleate 0.5% for duration of 3.5 years and observed that the basal tear turnover is slightly decreased in Timolol Maleate with BAC group as compared to controls and the group administered with Timolol Maleate without BAC.

Significantly abnormal TBUT results were seen in both groups. However, the Travatan group showed a larger percentage of abnormal changes as compared to the Travo-Z group. Exposure to BAC causes decrease in goblet cell density and alters the precornealmucin which is important in maintaining the integrity of the tear film.

Wilson et al. [16] demonstrated that 0.01% BAK hastened the drying of precorneal tear film in rabbits and man. The two studies by Ishibashi T, Yokoi N et al. [17]. In 2003 and ShimazakiJ, Hanada K et al. [18] in 2000 for the effect of antiglaucoma medication with and without preservatives showed decrease in tear film stability with preservatives by 17 and 40% respectively. Baudouin C et al. [19] found that albino rabbits given a preserved blocker (Timolol 0.25% and 0.5%; preserved with 0.01% BAC) displayed a significantly greater reduction in TBUT compared with those given a non-preserved beta blocker.

Fluorescein and Lissamine staining at the end of 6 months was significantly abnormal in both Travo-Z and travatan groups 28.57% and 39.2% respectively, but difference in the two groups was not statistically significant. In 2007 by Lewis RA, Katz GA. [20] et al. a 3 month study of comparison of effects of travoprost with and without BAC found that mild to moderate conjunctival staining was noted in 0.3% eyes in BAC free group and in 1.2% eyes in BAC group. Study in 2008 by Leung EW and Medeiros FA et al. [21] for prevalence of ocular surface disease in glaucoma patients demonstrated corneal and conjunctival Lissamine green staining in 22% of patients but none was scored serious.

Normal OSDI score was seen in 32.14% patients at the end of 6 months in group 1 and 42.85% in group 2. Mild to moderate OSDI score was seen in 53.57% patients in group 1 and 57.14% in group 2. Severe OSDI score was seen in 14.28% in group 1 but 0% in group 2.

In 2010 study by Katz et al. [22] concluded that switching from BAC preserved Latanoprost to BAC free Travoprost yielded significant improvement in symptoms of OSD. In 2008 Henry et al. [23] with similar study concluded that patients previously treated with BAC preserved PG analogue switched to Travoprost BAC free drug have clinically and statistically significant improvement in their OSDI score. None of the patients required discontinuation of drugs due to the presence of symptoms.

We also investigated the relationship between OSD symptoms based on OSDI questionnaire and clinical signs. A large proportion of patients who reported symptoms on the OSDI questionnaire had normal results on the clinical tests. Conversely, a large proportion of patients with abnormal results on clinical tests had normal results on OSDI questionnaire. This is in agreement with previous studies done by Schein et al. [24] and Hay EM et al. [25] that also found a poor correlation between objective and subjective signs of OSD. Also it can be due to the decreased sensation of cornea due to loss of afferents with the long term use of BAC leading to fewer symptoms noted by the patient.

Prostaglandin analogs have progressively replaced beta-blockers as the first-line therapy of POAG, because they are the most effective IOP-lowering agents, lack relevant systemic side effects, and require only once-daily dosing[26,27]. Preservative-free prostaglandin analogs – such as travoprost – minimize the risk of ocular side effects and increase the likelihood of good treatment adherence. Hence, preservative-free solutions should be considered when available. They could be particularly beneficial to patients who 1) have pre-existing ocular surface disease, 2) are expected to develop ocular surface disease (dry eye) during long-term medication, 3) are using multiple concomitant topical ocular treatments, and/or 4) are about to undergo glaucoma surgery[28,29]. In general, the current glaucoma treatment guidelines call for therapies that can maintain visual function, minimize side effects, increase adherence, and improve quality of life of the patients. A correct choice of first-line therapy is fundamental to achieving these patient outcomes and reducing the economic costs in the long run. Preservative-free prostaglandin analogs currently provide the best monotherapy option for first-line treatment of POAG. The costs of disease management could even be halved, if POAG is prevented/delayed effectively [30]. Limitation of our study was small sample size and short duration of follow-up period.

CONCLUSION. Thus, Stabilised Oxychloro Compound preservative in Travoprost preserves the ocular surface
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integrity better compared to Benzalkonium chloride in the Travoprost though the adverse effects of original drug molecule could not be negated in both groups. Ocular surface disease must be kept in mind in symptomatic patients as it is likely to affect drug compliance.
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