# **Scholars Journal of Applied Medical Sciences (SJAMS)**

Abbreviated Key Title: Sch. J. App. Med. Sci.

©Scholars Academic and Scientific Publisher

A Unit of Scholars Academic and Scientific Society, India
www.saspublisher.com

ISSN 2320-6691 (Online) ISSN 2347-954X (Print)

**Obstetrics** 

# A Prospective Randomised Study for Comparison of Total Laparoscopic Hysterectomy and Non Descent Vaginal Hysterectomy for the Treatment of Benign Diseases of Uterus

Dr. Mahvish Shafiq<sup>1\*</sup>, Prof. Dr. Geeta Jain<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>PG 3<sup>rd</sup> yr Obs and Gynae, GMC Haldwani, Nainital, Uttarakhand, India

## Original Research Article

\*Corresponding author Dr. Mahvish Shafiq

#### **Article History**

Received: 17.04.2018 Accepted: 06.05.2018 Published: 30.05.2018

#### DOI:

10.21276/sjams.2018.6.5.4



**Abstract:** To compare the surgical outcome of two techniques of hysterectomy- TLH and NDVH. 40 women with benign diseases of uterus were randomized into two groups, 20 in each group. In these patients, intraoperative parameters were compared like total duration of surgery, amount of blood loss, intraop complications and total duration of hospital stay. Duration of surgery, amount of blood loss, intraop complications, total duration of hospital stay and overall cost were less for NDVH whereas postop pain was less for TLH. NDVH came out to be the better route for hysterectomy compared to TLH.

**Keywords:** TLH: total laparoscopic hysterectomy, NDVH: non descent vaginal hysterectomy, DUB: dysfunctional uterine bleeding.

#### INTRODUCTION

Hysterectomy is the second most common major surgical procedure performed on women after caesarean section [1]. In India, the incidence of hysterectomy is 4-6% out of which 90% are performed for benign indications [2]. While the incidence of hysterectomy in the Western countries is 10-20% with the highest rate in the United states and the lowest in Norway and Sweden. There are various possible approaches to hysterectomy for benign diseases of uterus- Abdominal hysterectomy (AH), vaginal hysterectomy (VH), Laparoscopic hysterectomy (LH).

Although laparoscopic hysterectomy (LH) takes longer time, its proponents have emphasized several advantages over abdominal hysterectomy in terms of intraoperative blood loss, less postoperative morbidity, rapid recovery time, shorter hospital stay, fewer febrile episodes and early return to normal activities [3].

It is done with the advantage of avoiding a major abdominal scar (small incision reduces the risk of wound infection) but is more expensive and above all needs special training.

The only formal guideline available is the uterine size guideline by ACOG in 1989, which suggest that VH is most appropriate in women with mobile uteri not larger than 12 weeks gestational size (approximately 280gms). ACOG also acknowledges that the choice of approach should be based on the surgical indication, the patient's anatomic condition, and data supporting the approach, informed patient preference, the surgeon's expertise and training [4].

TLH is a recent advance in the field of gynecological surgery in which operation is done laparoscopically as against NDVH. TLH facilitates better anatomical views, allows performance of

concomitant surgery, and is suitable for larger uteri and those with little or no descent, which may prove difficult to be removed vaginally [5].

On the other hand NDVH is cheaper, cosmetic (stitchless surgery) and associated with decreased morbidity.

# AIMS AND OBJECTIVES Aim

To compare the surgical outcome of two techniques of hysterectomy for women with benign diseases of uterus, by the same surgeon

### **Objectives**

To compare the following parameters in two techniques of hysterectomy:-

- Total duration of Surgery
- Amount of blood loss during Surgery
- Intraoperative Complications

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>HOD Obs and Gynae, GMC Haldwani, Nainital, Uttarakhand, India

## Mahvish Shafiq & Geeta Jain., Sch. J. App. Med. Sci., May 2018; 6(5): 1889-1892

- Post-operative pain
- Total duration of hospital stay

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of Dr. Susheela Tiwari Memorial Hospital, Haldwani, District Nainital of Uttarakhand.

#### **Inclusion criteria**

All diagnosed haemodynamically stable cases of "benign" diseases of uterus not amenable to or failed medical management.

## **Exclusion criteria**

- Malignant diseases of uterus and cervix
- Severe endometrioses
- IInd and IIIrd degree UV prolapsed

- Acute PID
- 50 patients were screened for the study. Out of these, 43 patients agreed to provide informed written consent. Of 43 operated patients, three patients were excluded as they required adnexal removal. This was done to minimize the selection bias leading to interference in operative time and blood loss. Three women did not come for follow up. Hence, 40 women (20 in both groups) were statistically analysed for present study.
- Patients in group A underwent TLH in which whole procedure was performed laparoscopically.
- Vaginal hysterectomy in a non- prolapsed uterus without laparoscopic assistance, termed as nondescent vaginal hysterectomy constituted group B.

#### **OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS**

Table-1: Comparison of Age, Diagnosis, Complications, Duration of surgery, Amount of blood loss, Postop pain, Duration of hospital stay

pain, Datation of nospital stay								
Parameters	Group A	Group B	P value					
Age	44.8	46.25	0.554					
Diagnosis								
<ul> <li>1 deg Cx descent</li> <li>DUB</li> <li>Fibroid uterus</li> <li>Postmenopausal bleeding</li> </ul>	20% 55% 20% 5%	15% 65% 10% 5%	0.711					
Uterine myohyperplasia	0%	5%						
Intraop Complications								
Ureteric injury Bladder injury Haemorrhage	5% 0% 5%	0% 0% 10%	0.366					
Duration of surgery	142.5±27.3	70 ±15.3	0.0001					
Amount of blood loss	284.5±18.7	242.5±43.8	0.001					
Postop pain	5.35 ±1.39	$5.95 \pm 0.89$	0.378					
Duration of hospital stay	6.45 ±1.54	5.5 ±0.61	0.0155					

## DISCUSSION

## Comparison of age

In this study majority of patients belong to age group of 40 - 49 years since incidence of menstrual disorders is more during this age group.

## Comparison of indications of hysterectomy

Most common indication for hysterectomy in present study as DUB 58.33% (35 in 60)

Table-2: Comparison of age with other studies

Mean age	Our study	KK Roy et al.	Raxita Patel	Nurun Nahar	Koike et al.
(yrs)		2010	et al	Khanam et al	2014
TLH	44.8±6.91	41.9	43.87	-	46.8
NDVH	46.25±5.49	43.7	42.07	44.2±4.0	-

Table-3: Comparison of various Indications of hysterectomy with other studies

	Our study (n=40)	KK Roy et al,	Raxita Patel et	Mathew Morton et
	Our study (II=40)			
		2010 (n=90)	<i>al.</i> (n=100)	<i>al.</i> (n=152)
DUB	(58.33%)	32	41	23
Fibroid	(20%)	52	33	84
1 deg Cx	(16.66%)		-	-
descent				
Adenomyosis	=	04	18	23
Myohyperplasia	(1.67%)		-	-
Post-	(3.33%)	-	O4	-
menopausal				
bleeding				
Chronic pelvic	-	-	05	-
pain				
Others	-	02	-	22

#### Comparison of operating time

In our study operating time of TLH varied between 180 min (max) to 100 min (min); the mean

time being  $142.5\pm27.31$  min. The mean operating time for NDVH being  $70\pm15.3$  min. So, TLH took more operating time than NDVH.

Table-4: Comparison of operating time with other studies

		OUR STUDY	KK Roy et al. 2010	Matteson et al. 2009
1	TLH	142.5±27.31	105±23	99±25
3	NDVH	70±15.3	67±29	81±30

## Comparison of amount of blood loss

In our study, when we compared the amount blood loss among both groups, we found that the blood

loss for NDVH was minimum, which was clinically significant (p=0.001).

Table-5: Comparison of blood loss with other studies

Tuble 2. Comparison of blood loss with other states							
Mean Amount of blood	Our study	KK Roy et al.	Raxita Patel et	Mathew Morton et			
loss(min)		2010	al.	al. 2008			
TLH	284.5±18.77	250	204.4	141			
NDVH	242.5±43.87	200	187.01	114			

# **COMPARISION OF COMPLICATIONS Intraoperative complications**

Urinary tract injury (bladder and ureter) is the most common visceral injury encountered in laparoscopic hysterectomy. Laparoscopic uterine artery ligation is the most common procedure likely to increase the risk of ureteric injury.

In our study, incidence of ureteric injury was in 1 case of TLH group. These complications occurred in laparoscopic surgeries during initial period of learning curve. Ureteric injuries were identified intraoperatively and were repaired by the surgeon

during surgery. In KK Roy *et al.* there were no visceral injuries in any of the groups.

# Comparison of postoperative pain

Post-operative pain was determined by Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) on a grade of 1-10 as no pain to worst pain possible. Pain scoring was done in all the patients after 48 hrs of operation. In our study, TLH group had less pain as compared to NDVH (p=0.0001) which was statistically significant.

# Comparison of duration of hospital stay

In our study, duration of hospital stay was least for NDVH and statistically significant (P value=0.0155).

Table-6: Comparison of postoperative pain with other studies

Tuble of Comparison of postoperative pain with other stadies									
Pain score on VAS scale	Our study		KK Roy et al,2010		Raxita Patel et al.				
	0-3	4-6	>6	0-3	4-6	>6	0-3	4-6	>6
TLH	12	08	-	14	14	02	02	05	01
NDVH	_	14	06	18	12	0	13	34	10

Table-7: Comparison of duration of hospital stay with other studies

Mean duration of	Our study	KK Roy et al,	Nurun Nahar	Mani K et al.
hospital stay (days)		2010	Khanam et al	
TLH	6.45 ±1.54	2	-	$4.63 \pm 1.2$
NDVH	5.50 ±0.61	2	$4.20 \pm 0.6$	-

#### **CONCLUSION**

Our study showed that laparoscopic hysterectomies had advantage of better visualization of pelvic structures during surgery and occasional dissection and adhesiolysis, lesser postoperative pain and morbidity, while it is more time consuming (i.e. longer learning curve), requires training and is costly (expensive instruments are needed). Laparoscopic procedures are never performed in seropositive patients. There is always risk of conversion to laparotomy.

NDVH is done through naturally created route so gives no scar, lesser handling of intestine, quicker, cheaper surgery, with lesser intraoperative complications so patients were discharged early. In seropositive patients NDVH is preferred as compared to laparoscopic surgeries.

So on the basis of above discussion NDVH comes out to be the better route for hysterectomy. The future place of LH will be determined by the increased familiarity and skill of surgeons.

## REFERENCES

- Centers for Disease Control (CDC), MMWR. Hysterectomy Surveillance-United States, 1994-1999. Surveillance summaries. 2002; 51(SS05): 1-8
- 2. Singh AJ, Arora AK. Effect of uterine prolapsed on the lines of rural North Indian women. Singapore J Obstet Gynecol. 2003; 34:52-58.
- 3. Meikle SF, Nugent EW, Orleans M. Complications and recovery from laparoscopyassisted vaginal hysterectomy compared with abdominal and vaginal hysterectomy. Obstetrics & Gynecology. 1997 Feb 1;89(2):304-11.
- Précis IV. An update in Obstetrics and GynecologyCD-ROM. Washington, DC: American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 1989.
- 5. Candiani M, Izzo S. Laparoscopic versus vaginal hysterectomy for benign pathology. Current Opinion in Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2010 Aug 1;22(4):304-8.
- 6. Roy KK. A prospective study of TLH, LAVH and NDVH. All India institute of medical science. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2011; 284:907-12.
- 7. Patel R Chakravarty N. Comparative study of laparoscopic hysterectomy versus vaginal hysterectomy. Int J Med Sci Public Health 2014; 3:335-337.
- 8. Matteson KA, Phipps MG, Raker C, Sacco LJ, Jackson AL. Discussion: Laparoscopic versus

- vaginal hysterectomy for benign pathology'by Candiani et al. American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology. 2009 Apr 1;200(4):e1-6.
- 9. Bobin L, Maciołek-Blewniewska G, Malinowski A. Operating time of laparoscopically assisted vaginal hysterectomy (LAVH)--the causative factors and the course of the postoperative period. Ginekologia polska. 2007 Mar;78(3):204-9.
- Khanam NN, Chakma B, Chowdhury SB, Nahar K, Rahman N, Homaira R. Non-descended Vaginal Hysterectomy Is a Reasonable Alternative to LAVH?. Journal of South Asian Federation of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2009; 1(1):47-52.
- 11. Nieboer TE, Johnson N, Lethaby A, Tavender E, Curr E, Garry R, van Voorst S, Mol BW, Kluivers KB. Surgical approach to hysterectomy for benign gynaecological disease. Cochrane database syst rev. 2009 Jul 8;3(8).
- 12. Drahonovsky J, Haakova L, Otcenasek M, Krofta L, Kucera E, Feyereisl J. A prospective randomized comparison of vaginal hysterectomy laparoscopically assisted vaginal hysterectomy and total laparoscopic hysterectomy in women with benign uterine disease. European Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology and Reproductive Biology. 2010 Feb 1;148(2):172-6.
- 13. Taejong SO, Tae-Joong KI, Heeseok KA, Yoo-Young LE, Choi CH, Jeong-Won LE, Byoung-Gie KI, Duk-Soo BA. A review of the technique and complications from 2,012 cases of laparoscopically assisted vaginal hysterectomy at a single institution. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 2011 Jun 1;51(3):239-43.
- 14. Long CY, Fang JH, Chen WC, Su JH, Hsu SC. Comparison of total laparoscopic hysterectomy and laparoscopically assisted vaginal hysterectomy. Gynecologic and obstetric investigation. 2002;53(4):214-9.
- 15. Horng SG, Huang KG, Lo TS, Soong YK. Bladder injury after LAVH: a prospective, randomized comparison of vaginal and laparoscopic approaches to colpotomy during LAVH. The Journal of the American Association of Gynecologic Laparoscopists. 2004; 11(1):42-6.
- 16. Bronitsky C, Payne Rj, Stuckey S, Wilkins D. A comparison of laparoscopically assisted vaginal hysterectomy vs traditional total abdominal and vaginal hysterectomies. Journal of gynecologic surgery. 1993;9(4):219-25.
- 17. Mani K. Int J Rprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol. 2017 Mar;6(3):966-969.