Role of Lateral Thoracic Flap for Reconstruction of Defects of Upper Limb (Peri Elbow Region)
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Abstract: Reconstruction of defects around elbow and upper arm is a challenging task for reconstructive plastic surgeon. Local tissues will not be adequate to cover the large defect. The lateral thoracic artery flap provides good skin cover for the defects of elbow and upper arm. The objective is to study the feasibility and therapeutic effect of lateral thoracic flaps for the defects of upper extremities (around elbow). This is a clinical, prospective study conducted between 2012 and 2014 at Osmania General Hospital / Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad. Ten cases with soft tissue defects in the upper extremities exposing bone were included in the study and re-constructed with lateral thoracic artery flap. In this study there were 250 patients with Upper limb defects with either trauma or burns as the causative factor admitted to the department of Plastic Surgery. Ten Peri-Elbow defects selected for this study constituted 4% of Upper limb defects admitted to Plastic Surgery department. The mode of injury is due to electrical injuries in 8 cases (80%) and 2nd common cause is road traffic accidents in 2 cases (20%). All patients are male (100%) and belong to young and middle age group ranging from 8 years to 48 years. The most common site of defect is upper arm seen in four cases (40%), upper arm & elbow in 3 cases (30%) and elbow in 3 cases (30%). The lateral thoracic flap was used to reconstruct the defects of upper arm (upper, middle, lower third) involving antero-medial aspect in five cases and posterolateral aspect in four cases & 3/4th circumference in one case. Anteriorly based lateral thoracic flap used in four cases and posteriorly based in four cases. Lateral thoraco epigastric flap used to reconstruct the elbow defects in two cases. The wounds healed well in all cases, except in one case which is used to cover the defect on the elbow developed partial necrosis which was debrided and covered with skin grafting. The lateral thoracic flap is a flexible alternative with good therapeutic results for covering the defects in the upper extremities, with a reliable blood supply, without any donor site morbidity.
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INTRODUCTION

Elbow joint is an important joint that allows working, playing and performing everyday activities. Elbow motion is essential for upper extremity function to position the hand in space. This is an important joint which supports the function of the upper limb depends upon the integrity and function of the related ligaments, tendons, muscles, joints and bones. Problems in any of these can affect upper extremity function – from the fingertips to the shoulder blades. The treatment of soft tissue problems about the elbow should be directed toward early coverage and functional rehabilitation to prevent major disruptions at home and at work and negatively impacting quality of life.

Reconstruction of defects around elbow & upper arm is a challenging task for reconstructive plastic surgeon. Local tissues will not be adequate to cover the large defect. Fasciocutaneous flaps of local and regional areas were not adequate and available. Latissimus dorsi muscle flap provides good cover but is a major flap with donor muscle functional deficit. Hence, though it is a two-staged procedure, lateral thoracic flap provides good coverage to the upper arm and elbow defects without any functional deficit and
donor site of the flap in the hidden area of the body [23, 24].

AIM:

The aim of this study is to study the feasibility and therapeutic effect of lateral thoracic flaps for the defects of upper extremities (around elbow region).

OBJECTIVE:

To determine the feasibility, versatility and usefulness of Lateral thoracic flap cover. For Peri-Elbow defects. To understand the complications if any present. To assess the extent of restoration of range of elbow movements & the compliance of patients to this type of flap cover.

Literature review about Lateral Thoracic region as fascio cutaneous flap:

Jun Shi et al.; [2] conducted a study aimed to present surgical techniques and their experience in using free Lateral Thoracic cutaneous, myocutaneous and conjoint flaps for oral and maxillofacial reconstruction. Concluded that it is a reliable technique for reconstruction of maxillofacial defects with minimal donor site morbidity and favourable aesthetic outcomes.

Whereas Stephen J Mc Culley et al.; [3] have studied the Lateral Thoracic artery perforator (LTAP) flap as a valuable option from the lateral chest wall for partial breast reconstruction. Concluded that this flaps reliable and can be raised on its pedicle allowing greater mobilization in the use of the flap. Furthermore, Do yup Kim [4] and others had studied the Lateral thoracic artery perforator flap as a propeller flap for reconstruction of the chest wall for a radiation ulcer on the anterior chest.

Similarly, Wan-Lin-Teo [5] and others in their study titled Radical Surgical Excision and Use of Lateral Thoracic Flap for Intractable Axillary Hidradenitis Suppurativa have performed. The flap raised reached about 30 * 15 cm in dimensions. The flap was subjected to delay to enhance the survival of the periphery. This study concluded that with delay it could be raised to bigger dimensions which improved the quality of the patients.

In another study involving the Lateral thoracic region conducted by Baghaki [6] and others who have studied the Locoregional use of Lateral Thoracic Artery Perforator Flap as a Propeller Flap. In their case series, Lateral Thoracic artery flap was used for reconstruction as an islanded or propeller flap in Axilla, Pectoral region and Arm. The range of motion of affected extremities returned to normal after reconstruction.

Similarly, Kim JT et al.; [7] studied using Lateral Thoracic perforator flap for defects in the head and neck region and lower limbs which resulted due to tumour extirpation, crush injuries and chronic wound with Osteomyelitis. The flaps were raised in a chimeric fashion and have concluded that Lateral thoracic region is a universal donor site In an interesting study by Hui Chai Fong [8] and others to study for an appropriate recipient vessel in microsurgical breast reconstruction using deep inferior epigastric perforator flap – transverse rectus abdominis myocutaneous flap they found that the Lateral Thoracic vessels are useful alternative due to their central position and intraoperative exposure in a skin sparing mastectomy, the other usual alternatives being internal mammary and Thoracodorsal vessels

Anatomy of the Lateral Trunk (Lateral Thoracic Region)

This region extends from the mid scapular line to the anterior axillary line. Inferiorly it is bounded by the iliac crest. Down to the level of the fifth or sixth rib the skin is supplied by branches of the axillary artery, namely the Thoraco-dorsal and Lateral Thoracic vessels below this level by the Intercostal and Lumbar artery perforators. The skin of the axilla and lateral thoracic wall is supplied by perforators originating from three vessels, namely the Lateral thoracic, Thoracodorsal, and accessory lateral thoracic arteries. Cutaneous branches from the thoracodorsal and lateral thoracic artery were first mentioned by de Coninck et al.; and the flaps based on these arteries have been known as thoracodorsal skin flaps, thoracodorsal axillary flaps, and lateral thoracic flaps [9].

The Thoracodorsal Artery:

This arises where the subscapular artery divides, within 3 - 4 cms of its origin from the third part of the axillary artery, into thoracodorsal and circumflex scapular arteries. The Thoraco dorsal artery together with Thoraco dorsal nerve forms the principal neuro - vascular pedicle of the Latissimus dorsi muscle and the skin over the upper part of the muscle is supplied by musculocutaneous perforators arising from the branches of the artery. It is significant practical point that one of these branches lies in a constant position 2.5 cm behind the anterior border of the muscle and runs parallel to this free edge. Cutaneous branches of the Thoraco dorsal artery are crucial for the Lateral Thoracic flap in the study. The cutaneous supply is shared between The
Thoracodorsal artery and Serratus artery and Axillary artery, their contribution varies in different individuals.

Anatomy of the lateral Thoracic flap:

A modified Type B fasciocutaneous flap can be safely raised in the lateral thoracic region due to its rich vascularisation. The anatomical territory of the lateral thoracic artery was demonstrated by Manchot [10] and Salmon [11], the dynamic territory of the vessel was mapped by Nakajima et al., [12] and a free flap based on the lateral thoracic artery was used by Harii et al., [13]. A dependable pedicled fasciocutaneous flap based on this and adjacent direct cutaneous vessels has not to date been used. This is a study on the fasciocutaneous pedicled flap based on either anterior based or posterior based raised from the Lateral Thoracic region which is uncommon in the international literature and would be one of the reliable and sturdy flaps. The vessels nearby include the thoraco dorsal artery, accessory lateral thoracic artery posteriorly and a pectoral branch of the acromino-thoracic axis anteriorly. Anatomy several small vessels originating from either the lateral thoracic vessels or the cutaneous branch of the thoracodorsal vessels supply this axial pattern island fasciocutaneous flap. Depending on the distance of advancement or transposition, the vessels may be dissected more proximally, close to the branching from the major vessels, or even based on them. Baudet et al.; described the successful transfer of this flap as a free thoracodorsal axillary flap [14], but due to an in constant vessel supply this flap has not gained popularity as a free flap [15-18]. However, as a pedicled island flap, based on several branches, there is no concern about the origin of neighbouring vessels that arise from the axillary region to which the flap should be transferred. Between the lateral border of the pectoralis major anteriorly and the lateral border of the latissimus dorsi posteriorly lies a well-defined layer of deep fascia covering the serratus anterior and external oblique muscles which is known as the 'lateral thoracic fascia'. Lying superficial to this fascia and supplying it are multiple direct cutaneous perforators. The two most constant direct cutaneous perforators are from the thoracodorsal artery and the lateral thoracic artery. The lateral thoracic artery was less constant than the direct cutaneous branch of the thoraco dorsal artery [19].
Advantages:
Reduced donor site morbidity Controlled resurfacing with a thin flap. Various patterns and compositions can be harvested and used to reconstruct. Defects requiring different tissue arrangements and tissue types. Components of the flap can be arranged in the recipient site without restriction.

Disadvantages:
- Initial temporary vascular insufficiency. Initial temporary flow disturbance, including flap ischemia and flap congestion, has been observed.
- Deviation of the breast. In female patients, primary closure of the donor site may result in deformity of the breast with the thoracodorsal or lateral thoracic perforator flap; however, this can be released over time.

PATIENTS AND METHODS
This is a clinical, prospective study conducted between 2012 and 2014 at Osmania General Hospital/Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad. Ten cases with soft tissue defects in the upper extremities exposing bone were included in the study and reconstructed with lateral thoracic flap.

These were admitted to the plastic surgery Intensive Care Unit in the Department of Plastic Surgery. After adequate resuscitation and surgical workup of cases and anesthesia evaluation for fitness for surgery, the patients were taken up for surgical wound debridement and flap cover for the exposed bone or joint. Depending on the size, site, position of the soft tissue defect, the lateral thoracic flap or lateral thoracoepigastric flap was planned. Posterior lateral thoracic flap based on thoraco-dorsal artery perforators and subscapular artery perforators were performed for the defects on posterior-lateral aspect of the upper arm and elbow. For antero-medial defects of the upper arm, direct cutaneous perforator, inter costal arteries-based anterior lateral thoracic flap was used. Thoracoepigastric flap based on direct cutaneous perforators and epigastric system was used for covering the posterior and lateral defects of elbow region. After surgical debridement, defect size was measured and the flap was planned on the lateral thoracic region with 2:1 and 3:1 length-breadth ratio. The flap was raised in the subfascial plane and given inset to the defect keeping the defect near to the chest wall. Donor site of flap covered with split-thickness skin graft or closed primarily. Forearm and arm fixed to the chest wall with Elastoplast. Patients are discharged 1 week after flap surgery with advice to do breathing exercise and take antibiotics and come for Stage 2 after 3–4 weeks. The flap was delayed and divided from the chest wall in the 2nd stage. Patients were advised to do physiotherapy to elbow, shoulder, and breathing exercises.

RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part involved</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper arm –middle or lower</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper arm &amp; elbow</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow region</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site of defect</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anteromedial &amp; antolateral</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posteromedial &amp; posterolateral</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¾ th circumference</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base of the flap</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anteriorly based LTF</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posteriorly based LTF</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: demographic details of 10 cases reconstructed with lateral thoracic flap

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Subject No.</th>
<th>AGE/SEX</th>
<th>MODE OF INJURY</th>
<th>SITE OF DEFECT</th>
<th>SIZE OF THE DEFECT</th>
<th>FLAP</th>
<th>RECOVERY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Case-1</td>
<td>M/40</td>
<td>EBI</td>
<td>Antero-medial aspect of upper arm &amp; elbow</td>
<td>12x10cm</td>
<td>Anteriorly based LTF</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Case-2</td>
<td>M/30</td>
<td>EBI</td>
<td>Upper half of antero-medial aspect upper arm</td>
<td>10x8cm</td>
<td>Anteriorly based LTF</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Case-3</td>
<td>M/48</td>
<td>EBI</td>
<td>Antero-Medial aspect of middle of upper arm</td>
<td>6x4cm</td>
<td>Anteriorly based LTF</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Case-4</td>
<td>M/14</td>
<td>RTA</td>
<td>Antero-lateral aspect of elbow</td>
<td>7x4cm</td>
<td>Anteriorly based LTF</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Case-9</td>
<td>M/9</td>
<td>EBI</td>
<td>Antero Medial aspect of middle of upper arm</td>
<td>9x7cm</td>
<td>Posteriorly based LTF</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Case-5</td>
<td>M/26</td>
<td>EBI</td>
<td>Postero-lateral aspect of lower upper arm &amp; elbow</td>
<td>7x5cm</td>
<td>Thoraco-epigastric flap</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Case-7</td>
<td>M/20</td>
<td>RTA</td>
<td>Postero-lateral aspect of Elbow</td>
<td>6x4cm</td>
<td>Thoraco-epigastric flap</td>
<td>Partial necrosis → SSG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Case-6</td>
<td>M/20</td>
<td>EBI</td>
<td>Postero-lateral aspect of middle of upper arm &amp; elbow</td>
<td>6x4cm</td>
<td>Posteriorly based LTF</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Case-8</td>
<td>M/8</td>
<td>EBI</td>
<td>¾th Circumference defect of upper arm</td>
<td>8x9cm</td>
<td>Posteriorly based LTF</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Case-10</td>
<td>M/25</td>
<td>EBI</td>
<td>Postero lateral aspect of Elbow</td>
<td>5x4cm</td>
<td>Posteriorly based LTF</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **EB**- Electrical burn Injury
- **RTA**- Road Traffic Accident
- **SSG**- split thickness skin graft

Anatomical Basis of Flap and Coverage

Lateral thoracic flap is a fasciocutaneous flap which can be safely raised in the lateral thoracic region due to its rich vascularization. The multiple direct cutaneous perforators which supply this region were found to be fairly constant [19, 20]. The lateral thoracic flap is based at the level of the 3rd rib on multiple direct cutaneous perforators. It has an excellent vascularity with a dependable length/width ratio of 3:1. The donor area, which lies in a concealed region, can often be closed primarily. The flap is hairless and can be used to cover raw areas anteriorly over the breast and axilla and posteriorly over the scapula and chest wall. However, the skin over the lateral thoracic region is vascularized by three rows of perforators of varied vascular dominance. Two perforator flaps from this region based on the middle and the posterior row of perforators from the thoracodorsal artery have been described. The lateral thoracic perforator flap based on the anterior row of perforators is another useful option[21,22]. The blood supply for posteriorly based lateral thoracic flap is perforators of thoracodorsal artery or subscapular artery and direct cutaneous perforators of intercostal or epigastric system when based anteriorly.

**DISCUSSION**

Elbow and peri-elbow wounds range from those caused by congenital anomalies, contracture release, tumour excision, and burns, to autoimmune disease, trauma, infection, and exposed prostheses [1]. The treatment of soft issue problems about the elbow should be directed toward early coverage and functional rehabilitation. They are often irregular with exposed nerves, tendons, bones/joint, and implants. Skin grafts, though possible in some cases, must be avoided, as it requires prolonged splintage and physiotherapy to prevent recurrence and elbow stiffness. Distant flaps require multiple stages, and free flaps are rarely indicated for elbow defects. Muscle flaps are bulky.
Each of these techniques has advantages as well as hazards and limitations. The ideal material for coverage of defects created should be thin, supple, large, healthy tissue with same texture, colour and thickness without the possibility of subsequent contracture, available in abundance and easy to harvest with least donor site morbidity.

Upper extremity reconstruction is very challenging due to the unavailability of expendable local muscles. This region is covered by thin and mobile tissues that respond to its increased mobility. Elbow and forearm wounds require a stable and durable solution. The wounds also have the unique requirement of a pliable, yet well-padded reconstruction. These characteristics promote early mobilization to prevent contracture and stiffness. As local tissues are frequently involved in the zone of injury or lack characteristics necessary to achieve an optimal outcome, the surgeon often must choose from the myriad of available flap options.

Local tissues will not be adequate to cover the large defect. Fasciocutaneous flaps of local and regional areas were not adequate and available. Latissimus dorsi muscle flap provides good cover but is a major flap with donor muscle functional deficit. Hence, though it is a two-staged procedure, lateral thoracic flap provides good coverage to the upper arm and elbow defects without any functional deficit and donor site of the flap in the hidden area of the body [23, 24].

Though the exact epidemiological statistics of this defect are not found in the literature, it has been one of the important defect which have been seen in our institute which needed a reliable and sturdy flap cover that would mimic the original tissue without the cumbersome and multiple staged either muscle flaps like Latismusdorsi muscle flap or free flaps which increase the morbidity of the procedure.

In this study there were 250 patients with Upper limb defects with either trauma or burns as the causative factor admitted to the department of Plastic Surgery. Ten Peri-Elbow defects selected for this study constituted 4 % of Upper limb defects admitted in Plastic Surgery admissions in our department (Pie chart-1). The mode of injury is due to electrical injuries in 8 cases (80%) and 2nd common cause is road traffic accidents in 2 cases (20%) (Pie chart-2). All patients are male (100%) and belong to young and middle age group ranging from 8 years to 48 years.(table-4) The most common site of defect is upper arm seen in four cases (40%) upper arm & elbow in 3 cases (30%) and elbow in 3 cases (30%).(table-1). Fig 1, 2, 3
Antero-medial aspect in five cases and postero-lateral aspect in four cases & 3/4th circumference in one case (table-2&3). Anteriorly based lateral thoracic flap [fig.4] done four cases and posteriorly based in four cases. [Fig. 5, 11, 12] Lateral thoraco epigastric flap [fig 13, 14] used to reconstruct the *elbow defects in two cases (table-3).

Fig 4: anteriorly based LTF  
Fig 5: posteriorly based LTF  
Fig 6: lateral thoraco epigastric flap

The wounds healed well in all cases, except in one case which is used to cover the defect on the elbow developed partial necrosis [Fig.7] which was debrided and covered with skin grafting [Fig.8].

Fig 7: partial necrosis of Lateral thoracic flap  
Fig 8: Post op debridement & ssg

Ninety percent of cases went well without any complication. Our results are similar to that of the study by Baghaki et al.; [6] All cases were taken up for delay and division of flap after 1 month, except one 8-year-old patient with electrical burns with ¾th circumference defect of the middle of upper arm which we did 2nd stage after 2 months [fig 9&10].

Fig 9: Pre op ¾th circumference defect of upper arm  
Fig 10: post op LTF
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In all cases, wounds healed well. Cosmetic and functional recovery is good. Our results are similar to that of the study by Baghaki et al.; [6]. The color, texture, and thickness of the flaps were also satisfactory.

CONCLUSIONS:
This study concludes that Lateral thoracic artery flap is a reliable, sturdy, robust, versatile and supple cover for peri-elbow defects, with least donor site morbidity. Though the most common complication seen in few flaps was marginal necrosis which can be reduced by tension free inset and proper post-operative posture management and patient compliance. The functional & cosmetic recovery of elbow and upper limb is good.
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