

## Original Research Article

**Comparison of diurnal intraocular pressure control between patients treated with latanoprost & surgically in primary open angle glaucoma**Debajyoti Nanda<sup>1</sup>, Manisha sarkar<sup>2</sup>, A K Chandrakar<sup>3</sup>, M L Garg<sup>3</sup>, N Pandey<sup>3</sup>, Eesh Nigam<sup>3</sup><sup>1</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Dr B.C. Roy post graduate institute of Pediatric sciences. Kolkata, West Bengal<sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology, I.P.G.M.E. &R, Kolkata, West Bengal<sup>3</sup>Upgraded Department of Ophthalmology, Pt. J.N.M. Medical College, Raipur, C.G**\*Corresponding author**

Dr. Debajyoti Nanda

Email [debajyotinanda@yahoo.co.in](mailto:debajyotinanda@yahoo.co.in)

**Abstract:** The aim of this study is to compare the diurnal IOP fluctuations of Primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) patients treated with latanoprost 0.005% once a day with patients having controlled IOP after trabeculectomy. A total 40 POAG patients were prospectively studied. The medical group consisted of 20 patients with controlled IOP (<18 mm Hg) under latanoprost 0.005% monotherapy with no history of previous intraocular surgery or laser therapy. The surgical group included 20 patients with controlled IOP after trabeculectomy without any hypotensive agent. All patients were underwent a diurnal tension curve (8:00-17:00/three hour interval), followed by a water drinking test (WDT) with last IOP measurement taken at 21:00 hours. Base line IOP (IOP at 8:00 am) was significantly lower in trabeculectomy group (10.8 mmHg) than latanoprost group (15.5 mmHg). The mean IOP during diurnal tension curve was higher in latanoprost group (15.8mm Hg) than trabeculectomy group (11.0 mmHg). After WDT, elevation of IOP was significantly higher in latanoprost than trabeculectomy group. Trabeculectomy patients had a significant lower mean IOP in diurnal tension curve than latanoprost group. Elevation of IOP in WDT and fluctuation is also lower in case of trabeculectomised patients than latanoprost group.

**Keywords:** diurnal IOP fluctuations, Primary open angle glaucoma (POAG)

**INTRODUCTION**

Intraocular pressure (IOP) is one of the major risk factor for the development of glaucoma. Glaucoma treatment is based mainly on IOP reduction to a level at which no further damage is expected to occur. Lowering IOP is believed to be helpful in slowing down glaucomatous changes of the optic nerves and visual field [1-3]. However, lowering of IOP to a preselected level (target IOP) does not always prevent glaucomatous damage and its progression [4-6]. So factors other than IOP may also be responsible for the continued progression of glaucoma [7]. But it has been suggested that in some cases the progressive damage could be caused by peaks of IOP or diurnal IOP variability not detected by tonometry during office hours [8-11] The IOP is subject to cyclic fluctuations throughout the day. Diurnal variation in glaucoma was first reported in 1898. The diurnal fluctuation of IOP has been considered as an independent risk factor for glaucoma progression. The mean amplitude for daily fluctuation ranges from 3 mm of Hg to 6 mm of Hg. Amplitude greater than 10 mm of Hg is generally

considered to be pathologic [12] Drance, who found that if one IOP measurements was taken at office hours then only one third patients will show pressure peaks, detected by a 24 hour tension curve [13]. Thus a diurnal IOP curve gives a better estimate of an individual's IOP level and fluctuations than a single measurement during the office visit. But it demands hospital admission where IOP is measured over 24 hours [9] Some authors have demonstrated "home tonometry" as another form to obtain 24 hour IOP data, [10,11,14] however, this kind of monitoring is demanding and may be susceptible to bias. In spite of its importance, a 24 hour diurnal tension curve (DTC) is not always feasible in the routine practice. Alternatively, a modified diurnal tension curve (mDTC) has become a common practice and consists of four to five IOP measurements during office hours (from 8 am to 6 pm). However, this test may miss as much as 70% of IOP peaks as a result of IOP variability and also because up to 70% of the highest IOP levels occur at 6 am in supine position [8].

The water drinking test (WDT) was a popular provocative test in 1950s and 1960s. But it loses its popularity due to low sensitivity and specificity. In recent years it regains its diagnostic value to predict maximum IOP values during diurnal tension curve (DTC) and to assess the patency of surgical interventions [15, 16]. The prostaglandin analogue latanoprost 0.005% seems to lead to uniform circadian reduction in IOP without peaks, compared with other antiglaucoma medications such as timolol and dorzolamide [17]. There is evidence that patients controlled after filtering surgery have lower IOP fluctuations during the diurnal tension curve and after a water drinking test than medically controlled patients [18]. The purpose of this study is to compare the diurnal IOP fluctuations of primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) patients treated with latanoprost 0.005% once a day with patients having controlled IOP after trabeculectomy.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This prospective study was conducted in the upgraded department of ophthalmology, Pt. J.N.M. Medical College, Raipur from 01.05.08 to 30.04.09. 40 eyes of 40 patients with primary open angle glaucoma were studied. The medical group consisted of 20 patients with controlled IOP (<18 mm of Hg) under latanoprost 0.005% monotherapy once at evening and with no history of previous intraocular surgery or laser therapy. The surgical group consisted of 20 patients after trabeculectomy. The surgical group had a controlled IOP without any hypotensive medication. In the medical group the patients had been on a stable ocular hypotensive medication regimen for at least three months. Trabeculectomy had been performed at least one year before inclusion in the study. The exclusion criteria were [i] baseline untreated (surgical) or treated IOP equal to or higher than 18 mm of Hg [ii] pseudo exfoliation glaucoma [iii] previous laser therapy [iv] corneal abnormality.

After admission, all patients were submitted to a diurnal tension curve. In diurnal tension curve, four IOP measurements were done at three hour interval (8:00 to 17:00). Patients in medical group were advised to stop medication during the study period. After the 17:00 hour IOP measurement the patients were submitted to the water drinking test. The patients were instructed not to take orally during the four hour period preceding the test. The patients were instructed to drink one litre of water over 10 minutes. After that IOP was measured a total of three times at 15 minutes interval. The last IOP measurement was taken at 21:00 hour. All IOP measurements were performed using the same Goldmann applanation tonometer.

The following parameters were used for data analysis: the mean diurnal IOP was obtained by averaging all of the IOP readings of diurnal tension curve. The difference between the highest and the lowest value was taken as diurnal range. The difference in IOP between the peak of the three measurements after the water drinking and the baseline (IOP immediately before water drinking test) was considered as the IOP fluctuation during the water drinking test.

**RESULTS**

Baseline IOP of diurnal tension curve (IOP at 8:00 am) was significantly varied between the trabeculectomy group (10.8 mm of Hg) and latanoprost group (15.5 mm of Hg). The mean IOP during diurnal tension curve was also higher in case of latanoprost group (15.8 mm of Hg) than trabeculectomy group (11.0 mm of Hg). After water drinking test, elevation of IOP was significantly higher in latanoprost group than trabeculectomy group. Peak values were reached after 30 min of ingestion of water. The IOP fluctuation during water drinking test were also higher in latanoprost group (+5.4 mm of Hg) than Trabeculectomy group (+2.1 mm of Hg). The results are statistically significant.

**Table-1: Results between the trabeculectomy group and latanoprost group**

| IOP                 | Trabeculectomy group<br>mm of Hg | Latanoprost group<br>mm of Hg |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| IOP pre WDT         | 10.2                             | 15.6                          |
| WDT 15 minutes      | 11.6                             | 19.1                          |
| WDT 30 minutes      | 12.3                             | 21                            |
| WDT 45 minutes      | 12.1                             | 20.2                          |
| WDT IOP fluctuation | +2.1                             | +5.4                          |

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION:**

Primary open angle glaucoma patients are generally managed by lowering the IOP to a level that the physician believes will prevent further glaucomatous damage. However, in a significant proportion of patients, the visual field continues to deteriorate in spite of outdoor pressure within the normal values. So, there may be some factors other than

IOP responsible for this damage. It has been suggested that diurnal IOP fluctuation may be responsible for this damage. Drance, who found that if one IOP measurements was taken at office hours then only one third patients will show pressure peaks, detected by a 24 hour tension curve [13]. A study from Zeimer *et al.*; showed that 29% of patients with progressive visual field damage presented IOP peaks whereas only 5% of

patients presented with stable visual fields[8]. Also, the occurrence of IOP peaks was related to visual field loss progression in comparison with patients with stable visual fields in a study from Martinez-Belló *et al.*; which also did not demonstrate any significant difference between mean IOP levels of patients who developed progression in comparison to stable ones[19]. R susanna *et al.*; showed mean IOP peak and percentage of IOP variation during water drinking test were significantly higher in patients with visual field progression compared with patients who did not progress[20]. These studies support the importance of detecting IOP peaks in glaucoma treatment. The water drinking test is a provocative test that was widely used a few decades ago to help in the diagnosis of open angle glaucoma,[21,22] but was found to be inadequate due to many false positive and false negative results in 10 year prospective studies [21] However, after some years, the emphasis on the value of this test has changed. As a result of the correlation with the diurnal tensional curve,[22] the water drinking test has been proposed as an alternative method to check IOP control.

Previous studies had already suggested the importance of the water drinking test to determine a risk factor for the development of glaucomatous visual field defect. In the 1980s, based on a large prospective study (Collaborative Glaucoma Study), Armary and coworkers reported the pressure change after drinking water as one of five potential risk factors significantly related to the development of glaucomatous visual field defects in patients with ocular hypertension[23,24].

Another study performed by Yoshikawa *et al.*; in normal tension glaucoma patients showed that the maximum IOP levels after the water drinking test in patients with progressive visual field loss was significantly greater than the levels observed for the non-progressive group[15].

F K Malerbi *et al.*; showed IOP variability using the mDTC and the WDT in patients with primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) undergoing clinical treatment who were considered to be well controlled with IOP equal to or under an established target pressure based on isolated office readings. Both tests were capable of demonstrating the existence of IOP peaks [25].

Brubaker proposed that the water drinking test could be used as an indirect measurement of outflow facility to compare the IOP responses of glaucoma eyes to different drugs [26]. Drugs such as prostaglandins improve the outflow facility and are expected to show less IOP variation secondary to water challenge. The presence or not of any filtration surgery should also be considered when comparing water drinking test results between eyes.

Medeiros *et al* demonstrated that patients submitted to trabeculectomy showed less IOP fluctuations during diurnal tension curve and following a water drinking test than patients under a mixed group of ocular hypotensive treatment [18]. Konstas *et al.*; demonstrated that well-functioning trabeculectomy provides a statistically lower mean, peak and range of IOP for 24-hour day than maximum tolerated medical therapy in advanced glaucoma patients [27]. Mansouri *et al* demonstrated that trabeculectomy patients had a statistically significant lower average IOP in diurnal tension curve and less wider fluctuations in water drinking test than patients on latanoprost monotherapy [28].

The aim of our study was to show if fluctuations in IOP differ significantly between POAG patients controlled by trabeculectomy and latanoprost. Our results showed trabeculectomy patients had a significant lower mean IOP in diurnal tension curve than latanoprost group. Elevation of IOP in water drinking test and the IOP fluctuations was also lower in case of trabeculectomy group than latanoprost group.

Prostaglandin analogue have become an important element of medical antiglaucomatous therapy in developed countries. Latanoprost was chosen because it has shown less significant fluctuations compared with timolol and dorzolamide. Glaucoma needs long term therapy. Though antiglaucoma medications are the mainstay of treatment in glaucoma patients but in developing countries like India, where cost of therapy is a factor, it is not possible to continue glaucoma medications for long time. So, trabeculectomy may be a safe alternative procedure which can maintain the IOP much lower side and can prevent further glaucomatous field loss.

#### REFERENCES:

1. Agis Investigators. The Advanced Glaucoma Intervention Study (AGIS): 7. the relationship between control of intraocular pressure and visual field deterioration. Am J Ophthalmol. 2000; 130:429-40.
2. Anderson D, Drance SM, Schulzer M. The effectiveness of intraocular pressure reduction in the treatment of normal-tension glaucoma. American journal of ophthalmology. 1998 Oct 1; 126(4):498-505.
3. Leske MC, Heijl A, Hussein M, Bengtsson B, Hyman L, Komaroff E. Factors for glaucoma progression and the effect of treatment: the early manifest glaucoma trial. Archives of ophthalmology. 2003 Jan 1; 121(1):48-56.
4. Kass MA, Kolker AE, Becker B. Prognostic factors in glaucomatous visual field loss. Archives of Ophthalmology. 1976 Aug 1; 94(8):1274-6.

5. Werner EB, Drance SM. Progression of glaucomatous field defects despite successful filtration. Canadian journal of ophthalmology. Journal canadien d'ophtalmologie. 1977 Oct; 12(4):275-80.
6. Chauhan BC, Drance SM. The relationship between intraocular pressure and visual field progression in glaucoma. Graefe's archive for clinical and experimental ophthalmology. 1992 Oct 1; 230(6):521-6.
7. Brubaker RF. Delayed functional loss in glaucoma LII Edward Jackson memorial lecture. American journal of ophthalmology. 1996 May 31; 121(5):473-83.
8. Zeimer R. Circadian variations in intraocular pressure. In: Ritch R, Shields MB, Krupin T, eds. *The glaucomas*. St Louis: CV Mosby Co, 1996
9. Hughes E, Spry P, Diamond J. 24-hour monitoring of intraocular pressure in glaucoma management: a retrospective review. Journal of glaucoma. 2003 Jun 1; 12(3):232-6.
10. Zeimer RC, Wilensky JT, Gieser DK, Viana MA. Association between intraocular pressure peaks and progression of visual field loss. Ophthalmology. 1991 Jan 31; 98(1):64-9.
11. Asrani S, Zeimer R, Wilensky J, Gieser D, Vitale S, Lindenmuth K. Large diurnal fluctuations in intraocular pressure are an independent risk factor in patients with glaucoma. Journal of glaucoma. 2000 Apr 1; 9(2):134-42.
12. Allingham R R, Damji F K et al. Shields' Text Book of Glaucoma; 5<sup>th</sup> edition, p 38.
13. Drance SM. Diurnal variation of intraocular pressure in treated glaucoma: significance in patients with chronic simple glaucoma. Archives of Ophthalmology. 1963 Sep 1; 70(3):302-11.
14. Zeimer RC, Wilensky JT, Gieser DK, Welch DB, Mori MT, Kahanic D. Application of a self-tonometer to home tonometry. Archives of Ophthalmology. 1986 Jan 1; 104(1):49-53.
15. Yoshikawa K, Inoue T, Inoue Y. Normal tension glaucoma: the value of predictive tests. Acta ophthalmologica. 1993 Aug 1; 71(4):463-70.
16. Chen CH, Lu DW, Chang CJ, Chiang CH, Chou PI. The application of water drinking test on the evaluation of trabeculectomy patency. Journal of ocular pharmacology and therapeutics. 2000 Feb; 16(1):37-42.
17. Orzalesi N, Rossetti L, Invernizzi T, Bottoli A, Autelitano A. Effect of timolol, latanoprost, and dorzolamide on circadian IOP in glaucoma or ocular hypertension. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science. 2000 Aug 1; 41(9):2566-73.
18. Medeiros FA, Pinheiro A, Moura FC, Leal BC, Susanna Jr R. Intraocular pressure fluctuations in medical versus surgically treated glaucomatous patients. Journal of ocular pharmacology and therapeutics. 2002 Nov 1; 18(6):489-98.
19. Martínez-Belló C, Chauhan BC, Nicoleta MT, McCormick TA, LeBlanc RP. Intraocular pressure and progression of glaucomatous visual field loss. American journal of ophthalmology. 2000 Mar 31; 129(3):302-8.
20. Susanna R, Vessani RM, Sakata L, Zacarias LC, Hatanaka M. The relation between intraocular pressure peak in the water drinking test and visual field progression in glaucoma. British journal of ophthalmology. 2005 Oct 1; 89(10):1298-301.
21. Roth JA. Inadequate diagnostic value of the water-drinking test. The British journal of ophthalmology. 1974 Jan; 58(1):55.
22. Miller D. The relationship between diurnal tension variation and the water-drinking test. American journal of ophthalmology. 1964 Aug 31; 58(2):243-7.
23. Armaly MF. Lessons to be learned from the Collaborative Glaucoma Study. Survey of ophthalmology. 1980 Nov 1; 25(3):139-44.
24. Armaly MF, Krueger DE, Maunder L, Becker B, Hetherington J, Kolker AE, Levene RZ, Maumenee AE, Pollack IP, Shaffer RN. Biostatistical analysis of the collaborative glaucoma study: I. Summary report of the risk factors for glaucomatous visual-field defects. Archives of Ophthalmology. 1980 Dec 1; 98(12):2163-71.
25. Malerbi FK, Hatanaka M, Vessani RM, Susanna R. Intraocular pressure variability in patients who reached target intraocular pressure. British journal of ophthalmology. 2005 May 1; 89(5):540-2.
26. Brubaker RF. Importance of outflow facility. Int Glaucoma Rev. 2001; 3(5).
27. Konstas AG, Topouzis F, Leliopoulou O, Pappas T, Georgiades N, Jenkins JN, Stewart WC. 24-hour intraocular pressure control with maximum medical therapy compared with surgery in patients with advanced open-angle glaucoma. Ophthalmology. 2006 May 31; 113(5):761-5.
28. Mansouri K, Orguel S, Mermoud A, Haefliger I, Flammer J, Ravinet E, Shaarawy T. Quality of diurnal intraocular pressure control in primary open-angle patients treated with latanoprost compared with surgically treated glaucoma patients: a prospective trial. British journal of ophthalmology. 2008 Mar 1; 92(3):332-6.