INTRODUCTION

Femoral neck fractures occur most commonly in elderly females and are uncommon in patients under the age of 60 years [1]. Most patients give a history of low energy fall as the cause of injury. In 2-3 % of cases there is no history of trauma and the injury may be pathologic or a stress fracture.

The treatment goals for femoral neck fractures are early return to a satisfactory functional status along with the minimization of mortality, morbidity and the need for re-operation [2].

The surgical options for femoral neck fractures include: internal fixation (with cancellous screws), hemiarthroplasty, and total hip replacement [3].

Internal fixation has a high rate of non-union and is with inferior results compared to hemiarthroplasty [4].

James Ennis Bateman and Gilberty in 1974 [5], introduced bipolar hemiarthroplasty, which is a self articulating prosthesis. Advantage of bipolar prosthesis is that erosion and protrusion of acetabulum would be less because, there is an dual articulation between the inner head and shell & shell and acetabulum.

The study was to evaluate the functional outcome of cemented and uncemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty in elderly patients with intracapsular femoral neck fractures, and determine the factors affecting the outcome.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Thirty patients above 50 years of age, with neck of femur fracture were operated with bipolar hemiarthroplasty from May 2012 to March 2014 with a minimum follow up of 6 months. Surgical options were based on the patient’s general condition and pre-injury mobility status. All patients were operated through posterior (southern-moore) approach, post operatively all patients received antibiotics and venous thromboembolism prophylaxis as per protocol. Postoperatively, partial weight bearing was allowed on 4th day. The outcome of the patients was assessed and tabulated and the mean of the Harris hip score will depict the results. The significance was calculated using ‘T’ test and ‘ANOVA’ test.

RESULT

The table-1 shows the results of the ‘t’ test carried out to compare the outcome of cemented and un-cemented bipolar prosthesis with Harris Hip Score and it revealed that there is no significant difference between the outcomes of cemented and uncemented bipolar prosthesis in the study (t-value=0.577) P>0.01.

In the table-2, the mean harris hip score for age, 51-60 years (93.14) scored higher value than other age groups. The calculated F-ratio (25.919), which is significant at 0.001 level, confirms that there is a significant difference in Harris hip score on the basis of age group.

Table 1: T test for Harris Hip Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Harris Hip Score</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cemented</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td>0.604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Un-Cemented</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>p&gt;0.01</td>
<td>(p&gt;0.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p>0.01 (Not Significant)

Table: 2 ANOVA test for Harris Hip Score Vs Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean Harris Hip Score</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>F-value</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>93.14</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-70</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>85.70</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>25.919</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-80</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81+</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>84.23</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the study of 30 patients with neck of femur fracture, the average age of the patients was 64 years. And the female-male ratio was 1.7:1. Out of 30 patients, 22 patients had co-morbid conditions. 8 patients had DM alone, 7 had HTN alone, 4 had DM/HTN, 1 had HTN/CAD, 1 had DM/HTN/CAD and 1 had DM/HTN/CKD.

The mean harris hip score for age, 51-60 years (93.14) scored higher than other age groups. The p value (<0.05), confirms that there is a significant difference in Harris hip score on the basis of age group. Based on t-test there was no significant difference in cemented and un-cemented bipolar prosthesis surgical outcomes (Table 2).

The average duration of surgery was 45 min (range, 30-60 min) with average blood loss of 260 ml (range, 95–535 ml). Average blood transfusion was 1.4 units including both preoperative and postoperative transfusions (range, 0 to 4 units). Mean duration of hospital stay was 11.9 days (7–26 days). We had no postoperative mortality or serious morbidity.

Case Illustrations
Case No.: 1

Two patients had lengthening (~1.0 cm). Ambulation was delayed in three patients; two were due to over weight and associated co-morbidities and one due to generalized weakness. The remaining 27 patients were discharged after rehabilitated walking with walker support. Partial to full weight-bearing was permitted only at 6 weeks. All of these patients achieved preinjury ambulatory status at 3 months. We had no instances of infection or dislocation in the immediate or late postoperative period.

We had a minimum follow-up of 6 months in all the thirty patients and few patients were followed up to 20 months. All were ambulatory and had painless hips. At the follow up, 17 patients had good results, 7 patients had excellent results, 4 patients had fair results and 2 patients had poor results. The mean Harris hip score was 84.2 (range: 64–97).

There was no incidence of stem subsidence, acetabular erosion, acetabular protrusion, or heterotopic ossification in any of the patients during the follow up period.
DISCUSSION

Elderly patients with fracture neck of femur who were mobile before injury, should be able to restore to their preoperative functional and ambulatory status.

Results for femoral neck fracture treatment illustrated by Leighton et al. [6] recommend prosthetic replacement for patients more than 60 years old having neck of femur fracture. Unipolar or bipolar (cemented) hemiarthroplasty had shown the most reliable and predictable outcomes. Uncemented stems are to be
considered in patients with significant cardiovascular risk factors and those who need total hip arthroplasty in the ‘active elderly patient,’ while unipolar prostheses (Moore or Thompson) are used only in minimally ambulatory patients [6].

Parker MJ et al. [7] had confirmed that cemented bipolar hemi-arthroplasty patients had minimal pain, better mobility, and no significant difference in complications when compared with un-cemented bipolar hemi-arthroplasty patients. No significant differences were found between unipolar and bipolar hemiarthroplasty (seven trials, 857 participants, 863 fractures). Similarly in our study, we found the results in comparison between cemented and uncemented bipolar prosthesis showed similar functional outcome.

Dorr et al. [8] found no significant differences in pain, ambulation, or aids required, in a study of the treatment of displaced neck of femur fractures with any of the femoral stem prosthesis. They reported disadvantage of the use of un-cemented femoral stems in patients with wide canals (Dorr type C and D femurs) as it results in higher incidence of subsidence and loosening due to of inadequate press-fit.

Torisu [9] had reported successful use of the bipolar implant without bone grafting in dysplastic osteoarthritis in a series of 36 hips of the steep and shallow acetabulum. The internal function of the bipolar, implant in 20 of 24 hip joints showing the implant having dominant function at the inner bearing was assessed.

H. U. Cameron [10] had used bipolar implant for the treatment of acetabular defects. All cases had done well and no revisions had been required for 8 years post-operatively.

William Murray et al. [11] reserved the use of the bipolar device for the patients in whom contact between viable bone and prosthesis is less than 50 percent. Bone grafting was necessary in most of these patients.

Robertson and Debra Cohen [12] reported the use of a bipolar implant in failed total hip arthroplasty were the peri-acetabular bone loss precluded stable fixation with a conventional acetabular component.

Torisu [13] reported the use of the bipolar implant with significant acetabular deficieny. At the end of 5 years there was no further migration of the head. In our study, due to minimal follow-up period, we were not able to report migration and acetabular erosion in any of our patients.

Wilson and R.D. Scott [14] reported successful use of bipolar implant for reconstruction of deficient acetabulum with acceptable relief of pain and functional ability.

In Bateman [15] series, there were some 70 hips converted from ankylosis to mobility. The average pre-operative score was 45. The postoperative score was 82, with an average increment of 37. The use of a single assembly implant considerably facilitates this procedure.

Lestrange et al. [16] had successfully used bipolar endoprosthesis in the treatment of unstable comminuted fractures. He concluded that the bipolar implant, cemented or uncemented, improves the results markedly. Primary arthroplasty had been especially useful in the treatment of unstable comminuted inter-trochanteric fractures, which occur in patients of more advanced age.

Colwill et al. [17] concluded in their series of 88 cases in the elderly and debilitating that the overall results were gratifying.

Garrahan and Madden [18] recommended the use of long stem in hips with displaced fractures of femoral neck. They reported no evidence of acetabular erosion or protrusio acetabulae. The three-point fixation of the long stem remained secure within the femoral canal with a tendency to limit calcar stress.

Nottage and Mcmaster [19] reported that bipolar prosthesis had significant advantages to fixed stem prosthesis for fractures and reconstruction of the hip.

When cemented and cementless prostheses were compared no significant differences were found in patients pain, walking distances, need of support, limp or sitting in high or normal chair [20].

Lo et al. [14] found less thigh pain and higher Harris hip scores with patients treated with cemented prostheses. However in our study there was no significant evidence of any advantage and complications in cemented and Uncemented bipolar prosthesis.

CONCLUSION

In our study, all the patients had satisfactory functional outcome and all the patients resumed to their normal daily activity. There was no significant difference in using cemented and uncemented bipolar prosthesis. To conclude bipolar prosthesis is a safe option in treating fracture neck of femur in the elderly (above 50 years) with good recovery and pain free in-spite of having several co morbidities. Usage of Uncemented bipolar also helps in easy revision to cemented total hip arthroplasty.
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