Case Report
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Abstract: We report two cases of broad ligament leiomyoma. Extrauterine leiomyoma remains an uncommon site to occur. Usually these tumors remain asymptomatic. When the lesion became large they present with various clinical manifestations. This rare entity is usually misdiagnosed preoperatively even with diagnostic imaging. In our cases it mimicking as ovarian/adnexal tumor. We are reporting these interesting cases with clinical, radiological and histopathological findings for its rarity.
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INTRODUCTION
Leiomyomay is a benign smooth muscle tumor. It can be intrauterine or extrauterine. Primary tumours of broad ligament are rare. Epithelial and mesenchymal tumors are known to occur in broad ligament. Broad ligament tumors pose specific diagnostic difficulties because of their rarity.

CASE REPORT 1
A 50 year old lady para 2, living 2, abortion 1, presented with 4 months history of pain abdomen, distention of abdomen and irregular menstruation. Per abdominal examination revealed a single mass arising from pelvis with restricted mobility and measuring 15×13 cm in size that was clinically suspected as right ovarian mass. Patient is known case of epilepsy for 7 years and was on treatment. No significant hormonal or family history was there. On investigation patient was anaemic, Hb- 7.3 gm%, Fasting blood sugar- 98 mg/dl, serum urea – 21 mg/dl, serum CA 125 level-11.79U/ml. On ultrasonography bulky uterus with evidence of large predominantly solid mass in pelvis extending in upper abdomen suspicious of right ovarian mass was reported. Pancytectomy with mass from left broad ligament was removed and sent for histopathology examination. On gross examination uterus shows bulky measuring 16×10×3 cm. On cut open showed multiple (thirteen) intramural and submucosal leiomyomatas (Fig. 1), larger measuring 3 cm in diameter. Cut section was grey white. Mass in the right broad ligament measuring 23.1×14.10 cm and weighing 2.7 kg was seen (Fig. 2). Cut section of mass was grey white whorled with foci of myxoid and cystic changes (Fig. 3). On histopathology it was multiple leiomyomatas-intramural and submucosal with a single large broad ligament leiomyoma with cystic and degenerative changes.

Fig. 1: Case 1- Panhystrectomyspecimen showing multiple intramural and submucosal leiomyoma

Fig. 2: Case 1- Showing large mass attached to broad ligament. Ovaries and tubes are unremarkable
CASE REPORT 2

A 45 year old female para 2, living 2, abortion 0, presented with history of pain abdomen 1 month and irregular menstruation since last 6 months. No significant hormonal or family history was there. Per abdominal examination revealed a single mass in left lower abdomen. It was firm, smooth, non-tender, mobile and measuring 20×18 cm. Routine laboratory investigations were within normal limit. Serum CA - 125 levels were raised (102.5 U/ml). On Ultrasonography a large solid echoic heterogenous lesion extending from pelvis (left adnexa) up to the left hypochondriac region was noted. It showed few small cystic spaces within it. No obvious internal foci of calcification were seen. Left ovary could not be seen separately from the lesion. Free fluid was noted. Radiologically suspicious of left adnexal mass – ovarian tumor was given. Exploratory laprotomy with panhystrectomy with removal of large mass adherent to left broad ligament was done and sent for histopathology. On gross examination uterus, endometrium, ovaries were unremarkable. Left side broad ligament shows a single large circumscribed mass measuring 19.2×11.5×7 cm and weighing 3.1 kg (Fig. 4). External surface showed congested blood vessels and nodularity. On cut section mass was grey white, firm with whorled appearance. A small thick stalk with blood vessel was seen attached to superolateral part of the broad ligament. On histopathology it was diagnosed as leiomyoma with secondary changes of hyalinization and myxoid degeneration (Fig. 5). There was focal areas showing increased cellularity. There was no evidence of necrosis and haemorrhage. Both the ovaries and endometrium were unremarkable.

DISCUSSION

Extrauterine leiomyoma is a benign smooth tumor. The uterine leiomyoma is most common benign solid pelvic tumors in women [1]. Uterine leiomyoma are present in about 80 % of all hysterectomy specimen [2]. While extra uterine leiomyoma is very uncommon.

Broad ligament leiomyoma can originate from the uterus and invade the broad ligament or it can originate from broad ligament itself. These benign tumors are usually asymptomatic. However if the leiomyoma reaches significant size, it can push uterus to contralateral side or it can potentially compress the surrounding pelvis structure and manifest clinically with various sign and symptoms. The location of tumors often determines the various symptoms [3]. It was important for any adnexal masses to discriminate between benign and malignant nature of the lesion in preoperative period for optimal patient management. The differential diagnosis for broad ligament leiomyoma includes masses from ovarian origin – benign or malignant, broad ligament cyst, lymphadenopathy and tubo-ovarian masses. In our cases on clinical and radiological investigation it was
suspicious of ovarian neoplasm. The serum levels of cancer antigen CA – 125 were done which is in normal range in case 1 and rose in case 2. Elevated cancer marker CA -125 levels may point to metastatic ovarian malignancies. But CA 125 is also raised in endometriosis, endometriomas, serous benign tumors and cystic teratomas [4] which make difficult to diagnose in preoperatively. Histopathology plays a important role in diagnosis for such cases.

Leiomyomas may be single or multiple. In our case 1 there was multiple leiomyoma present in uterine cavity and one large on broad ligament. While in case 2 it was a single mass in broad ligament without uterine leiomyoma. Broad ligament leiomyomas have the potential to grow to large size [5]. In our case also the tumor was of large size. When they grow large size secondary changes may occur. Most common secondary changes in leiomyoma are degeneration, infection, haemorrhage and necrosis. The cystic changes in lesion mimic the metastatic malignant ovarian tumors [6]. Primary leiomyosarcoma in broad ligament is rarely reported [7]. So, proper histopathological evaluation is important for patient management.

CONCLUSION
Extrauterine leiomyomas mimics ovarian tumors on clinical and radiological examination. Broad ligament leiomyoma should be kept important differential diagnosis for such solid adnexal or ovarian mass.
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